Acta Crystallographica Section F Structural Biology and Crystallization Communications

ISSN 1744-3091

Jacinta M. Wubben,^{a,b}‡ Con Dogovski,^{a,b}‡ Renwick C. J. Dobson,^{a,b,c} Rachel Codd,^d Juliet A. Gerrard,^c Michael W. Parker^{a,b,e} and Matthew A. Perugini^{a,b}*

^aDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia, ^bBio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute, University of Melbourne, 30 Flemington Road, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia, ^cBiomolecular Interaction Centre and School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand, ^dSchool of Medical Sciences (Pharmacology) and Bosch Institute, University of Sydney, Camperdown, New South Wales 2006, Australia, and ^eSt Vincents Institute of Medical Research, 9 Princes Street, Fitzroy, Victoria 3065, Australia

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence e-mail: perugini@unimelb.edu.au

Received 18 August 2010 Accepted 14 September 2010



O 2010 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

Cloning, expression, purification and crystallization of dihydrodipicolinate synthase from the psychrophile *Shewanella benthica*

Dihydrodipicolinate synthase (DHDPS) is an oligomeric enzyme that catalyzes the first committed step of the lysine-biosynthesis pathway in plants and bacteria, which yields essential building blocks for cell-wall and protein synthesis. DHDPS is therefore of interest to drug-discovery research as well as to studies that probe the importance of quaternary structure to protein function, stability and dynamics. Accordingly, DHDPS from the psychrophilic (colddwelling) organism *Shewanella benthica* (*Sb*-DHDPS) was cloned, expressed, purified and crystallized. The best crystals of *Sb*-DHDPS were grown in 200 m*M* ammonium sulfate, 100 m*M* bis-tris pH 5.0–6.0, 23–26%(*w*/*v*) PEG 3350, 0.02%(w/v) sodium azide and diffracted to beyond 2.5 Å resolution. Processing of diffraction data to 2.5 Å resolution resulted in a unit cell with space group $P2_12_12_1$ and dimensions a = 73.1, b = 84.0, c = 143.7 Å. These studies of the first DHDPS enzyme to be characterized from a bacterial psychrophile will provide insight into the molecular evolution of enzyme structure and dynamics.

1. Introduction

The enzyme dihydrodipicolinate synthase (DHDPS) catalyzes the first committed step of the lysine-biosynthesis pathway in plants and bacteria, namely the conversion of pyruvate and (S)-aspartate semialdehyde [(S)-ASA] to form hydroxytetrahydrodipicolinic acid (HTPA; Blickling, Renner et al., 1997; Hutton et al., 2007; Dogovski et al., 2009). Given that DHDPS is not expressed in mammals and that the gene encoding this enzyme (dapA) has been shown to be essential to bacteria (Kobayashi et al., 2003; Dogovski et al., 2009; Sibarani, Gorman, Dogovski, Praszkier et al., 2010), DHDPS is of particular interest to researchers as a potential antimicrobial drug target (Hutton et al., 2007; Dogovski et al., 2009). Consequently, DHDPS has been well characterized in a range of organisms, including the bacteria Bacillus anthracis (Blagova et al., 2006; Voss et al., 2009, 2010; 39% identity to Sb-DHDPS), Corynebacterium glutamicum (Rice et al., 2008; 32% identity to Sb-DHDPS), Escherichia coli (Yugari & Gilvarg, 1965; Laber et al., 1992; Mirwaldt et al., 1995; Blickling, Beisel et al., 1997; Blickling, Renner et al., 1997; Blickling & Knablein, 1997; Dobson et al., 2004, 2005; Griffin et al., 2008, 2010; 50% identity to Sb-DHDPS), Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Kefala & Weiss, 2006; Kefala et al., 2008; 34% identity to Sb-DHDPS), Neisseria meningitidis (Devenish et al., 2009; 47% identity to Sb-DHDPS), Sinorhizobium meliloti (Phenix et al., 2008; 44% identity to Sb-DHDPS), Staphylococcus aureus (Burgess, Dobson, Bailey et al., 2008; Burgess, Dobson, Dogovski et al., 2008; 36% identity to Sb-DHDPS), Streptococcus pneumoniae (Sibarani, Gorman, Dogovski, Parker et al., 2010; Sibarani, Gorman, Dogovski, Praszkier et al., 2010; 38% identity to Sb-DHDPS) and Thermotoga maritima (Pearce et al., 2006; 38% identity to Sb-DHDPS) as well as the plant Nicotiana sylvestris (Ghislain et al., 1990; Blickling, Beisel et al., 1997; 36% identity to Sb-DHDPS). In addition, there has recently been heightened interest in the structure of DHDPS (Dogovski et al., 2009) given that this oligomeric enzyme serves as an excellent model protein to probe the importance of quaternary structure to enzyme function, stability and dynamics (Mirwaldt et al., 1995; Blickling, Beisel et al., 1997; Dobson et al., 2005; Griffin et al., 2008, 2010; Burgess, Dobson, Bailey et al., 2008; Voss et al., 2010). Moreover, a number of different quaternary architectures have been discovered across the range of organisms studied to date. All plant and most bacterial DHDPS enzymes form tetramers, best described as a dimer of dimers, although the orientation of the dimeric units in the tetramer varies between plant and bacterial species (Mirwaldt et al., 1995; Dobson et al., 2005; Kefala & Weiss, 2006; Pearce et al., 2006; Blagova et al., 2006; Kefala et al., 2008; Phenix et al., 2008; Rice et al., 2008; Griffin et al., 2008, 2010; Devenish et al., 2009; Voss et al., 2010). Recently, the crystal structure of a native dimeric form of DHDPS has also been characterized from the Grampositive pathogen Staphylococcus aureus (Burgess, Dobson, Bailey et al., 2008; Burgess, Dobson, Dogovski et al., 2008; Girish et al., 2008). The S. aureus enzyme has a significant increase in the buried surface area at the dimer interface compared with the equivalent interface in tetrameric DHDPS structures (Burgess, Dobson, Bailey et al., 2008). The S. aureus DHDPS dimer also contains two electrostatic contacts at the dimer interface that are absent in all other DHDPS structures characterized to date (Burgess, Dobson, Bailey et al., 2008). Consequently, it has been hypothesized that DHDPS has evolved from an ancestral dimeric unit to form either a tetramer (as in most DHDPS structures characterized to date) or a tight dimer (as in S. aureus DHDPS) as a means of reducing conformational flexibility at the dimer interface (Burgess, Dobson, Bailey et al., 2008; Griffin et al., 2008, 2010). The quaternary structure of DHDPS is therefore important in reducing protein dynamics and in turn enhancing catalytic function (Griffin et al., 2008; Voss et al., 2010). Accordingly, the aim of this study is to clone, express, purify and crystallize DHDPS from the cold-dwelling γ -proteobacterium Shewanella benthica (Sb) (Deming et al., 1984; MacDonell & Colwell, 1985) with the view of determining a high-resolution crystal structure of the first DHDPS enzyme from a bacterial psychrophile. By studying oligomeric enzymes from bacterial extremophiles that inhabit different environments, including enzymes from bacteria that grow at low (i.e. psychrophilic) temperatures, the synergy between enzyme dynamics and quaternary structure can be examined. In turn, such studies will provide general insight into the molecular evolution of protein structure and function.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Gene cloning

The dapA gene from S. benthica ATCC3392 was amplified by PCR from genomic DNA (kindly provided by Dr Rachel Codd, University of Sydney) using the forward and reverse primers SBF1 (CATA-TGGACAGCATCAGTACCA) and SBR1 (GGATCCTTATTTAA-CCTCAATTCGTGC), respectively. The primers were designed to incorporate NdeI and BamHI restriction sites at the 5' and 3' ends of the dapA gene. The resultant PCR product was ligated into the pCRBlunt II-TOPO (Invitrogen) vector, producing the plasmid pJW1. The pJW1 plasmid was cut with restriction enzymes NdeI and BamHI and the dapA gene was cloned into the corresponding sites of the pET28a expression vector, resulting in the plasmid pET28a-JW1. The integrity of the vectors described in this study was confirmed by restriction-enzyme analysis and dideoxynucleotide sequencing. Plasmid pET28a-JW1 was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) for overexpression and purification of recombinant protein. The pET28a-JW1 plasmid is designed to produce N-terminal hexahistidine-tagged DHDPS from S. benthica (Sb-DHDPS).

An overnight culture of E. coli BL21 (DE3) containing pET28a-JW1 was used to inoculate (1 in 100 dilution) 11 Luria broth containing 100 µg ml⁻¹ ampicillin. Cells were cultured at 303 K with shaking $(180 \text{ rev min}^{-1})$ to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5. Recombinant protein expression was induced by addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 1.0 mM. Cultures were then placed at 289 K and incubated overnight (16 h) with shaking (180 rev min⁻¹). The IPTG-treated cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4400g and resuspended in 30 ml 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole pH 8.0 (buffer A) containing Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). The cell suspension was lysed by sonication (MSE Soniprep 150 sonicator) by applying 20 s pulses at a power output of 14 µm with 1 min rest intervals for a total of nine cycles. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation (17 210g for 30 min at 277 K) and passing the supernatant through a combined 5 µm and 0.45 µm syringe filter (Millipore). Purification of the recombinant His-tagged product was achieved by loading the cell lysate onto three 5 ml HisTrap HP (GE Healthcare) columns attached in series. Once recombinant protein had bound, the columns were washed in buffer A until a steady baseline was achieved. Recombinant Sb-DHDPS was subsequently eluted by employing a 20-500 mM imidazole gradient over a volume of 120 ml, with 3 ml fractions collected across the entire gradient. Fractions that contained DHDPS activity were identified using the o-aminobenzaldehyde assay as described previously (Yugari & Gilvarg, 1965). DHDPS-active fractions were further characterized by SDS-PAGE. Fractions containing >95% pure Sb-DHDPS were pooled and dialyzed overnight into 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl pH 8.0 (buffer B) before being aliquoted into 1 ml fractions and stored at 193 K. The DHDPS-DHDPR coupled assay (Coulter et al., 1999; Dobson et al., 2004) was employed to quantitatively determine the activity of purified Sb-DHDPS. In studies involving crystallization screens, Sb-DHDPS aliquots were thawed, concentrated to 10 mg ml^{-1} with an Amicon concentrator (molecular weight cut-off 10 000 Da) and buffer-exchanged into buffer B using a Sephacryl S-200 column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with buffer B.





Cloning and purification of recombinant *S. benthica* DHDPS. (*a*) Agarose gel electrophoresis displaying a restriction-enzyme digest of pET28a-JW1 using *NdeI* and *Bam*HI. (*b*) SDS–PAGE gel showing purification of *Sb*-DHDPS. Lane 1, filtered cell lysate IPTG; lane 2, IMAC chromatography.

2.3. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry

Prior to electrospray ionization time-of-flight (ESI-TOF) mass spectrometry, purified recombinant Sb-DHDPS was bufferexchanged into Milli-Q deionized water using a 5 ml HiTrap HP column. The exact mass of Sb-DHDPS was obtained by directly injecting the protein sample onto an Accurate TOF LC/MS 6220 (Agilent Technologies) via an ESI source, followed by deconvolution of the primary mass-to-charge data using the program MassHunter (Agilent Technologies).

Trypsin digestion of Sb-DHDPS was also performed following excision of Sb-DHDPS from an SDS-PAGE gel. The excised band was incubated overnight with trypsin (Promega) at 310 K. Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) was then performed on the trypsinized sample using an LC MSD Trap XCT (Agilent Technologies) equipped with an ESI source. Protein identification from MS/MS data was achieved using MASCOT (available at http//www.matrixscience.com; Matrix Science), searching for proteins within the NCBI database.

2.4. Protein crystallization

The crystallization of Sb-DHDPS was performed using previously described screening procedures (Burgess, Dobson, Dogovski et al., 2008; Dobson et al., 2008; Atkinson et al., 2009; Voss et al., 2009; Dommaraju et al., 2010; Hor et al., 2010; Sibarani, Gorman, Dogovski,

Table 1

Purification of recombinant Sb-DHDPS.

Purification step	Total protein† (mg)	Total activity‡ (units)	Specific activity (units mg ⁻¹)	Yield (%)	Purification factor (fold)
Crude lysate	335	3570	10.7	_	_
Nickel-affinity chromatography	13.7	1420	104	39.9	9.70

† Values refer to expression from a 11 culture. ‡ 1 unit = 1 μmol of NADPH per minute

Parker *et al.*, 2010). Recombinant *Sb*-DHDPS at 7.6 mg ml⁻¹ was subjected to the JCSG+ Suite crystal screen at the CSIRO-Bio21 Collaborative Crystallization Centre (Bio21-C³; http://www.csiro.au/ c3/) at 281 K (Newman et al., 2005, 2008). Crystallization was performed using the sitting-drop vapour-diffusion method in 96-well plates with a protein volume of 150 nl and a reservoir volume of 150 nl in each droplet. Crystals of Sb-DHDPS were obtained from 200 mM ammonium sulfate, 100 mM bis-tris pH 5.5, 25% (w/v) PEG 3350, 0.02%(w/v) sodium azide mother liquor following 7–9 d incubation at 281 K. In-house screens were thus conducted around this condition in 24-well Linbro plates (Hampton Research) at 281 K using the hanging-drop vapour-diffusion method. The in-house screens varied the drop size used (from 1 to 4 µl, with Sb-DHDPS

34 750

Mass (Da)

(b)

(d)

34 810.09

34 850

34 900

34 800



Mass-spectrometric analysis of recombinant Sb-DHDPS. (a) Raw mass-to-charge spectrum of Sb-DHDPS. (b) Deconvoluted mass spectrum of Sb-DHDPS, with the mass of the highest peak (34 632.16 Da) corresponding to the relative molecular weight of Sb-DHDPS with its N-terminal methionine cleaved ($M_r = 34 632.6$ Da). The mass annotated as 34 810.09 represents the tri-Na⁺ adduct of Sb-DHDPS. (c) b-ion and y-ion series of the peptide corresponding to residues 305–323 of Sb-DHDPS obtained from MASCOT. (d) The sequence of Sb-DHDPS, with the residues in bold indicating the sequence coverage of the MS/MS analysis conducted by MASCOT. The underlined sequence represents the peptide shown in (c).

constituting 50% of the droplet), the pH of the buffer (pH 5.0–6.0) and the concentration of the precipitant [23–26%(w/v) PEG 3350], while keeping the concentration of ammonium sulfate constant.

2.5. Data collection and processing

Prior to the collection of X-ray diffraction data, the crystals of Sb-DHDPS were cryoprotected in mother liquor containing $20\%(\nu/\nu)$ glycerol and then flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Intensity data at 100 K were collected on the MX2 beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, Clayton using the program *Blu-Ice* (McPhillips *et al.*, 2002). Data were collected in 0.5° steps for 180° of rotation using a ADSC Q315r detector with an exposure time of 2 s. Diffraction data were processed and scaled using the programs *iMOSFLM* (Leslie, 1992) and *SCALA* (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994).

3. Results and discussion

The *dapA* gene from *S. benthica* was amplified by PCR from genomic DNA and cloned into the expression vector pET28a to produce the plasmid pET28a-JW1 (Fig. 1*a*). This plasmid was transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells and the expression of soluble recombinant *Sb*-DHDPS was achieved by culturing cells at a temperature of 289 K (see §2.2). Expression temperatures above 293 K resulted in the production of insoluble recombinant protein (data not shown). A one-step purification protocol involving immobilized metal-affinity chromatography (IMAC) was employed to isolate recombinant *Sb*-DHDPS using an increasing imidazole gradient (see §2). Recombinant *Sb*-DHDPS eluted at imidazole concentrations of 225–290 m*M*. The single IMAC step produced >95% pure recombinant *Sb*-DHDPS (Fig. 1*b*) with a 9.7-fold increase in purity from the induced cell lysate and a specific activity of 104 U mg⁻¹ (Table 1). The final yield of recombinant enzyme was 13.7 mg per litre of bacterial





Figure 3

Crystallization and X-ray diffraction of *Sb*-DHDPS. (*a*) Crystals of *Sb*-DHDPS. The scale bar indicates 50 μ m. While different morphologies were present within the drop, diffraction data were collected from crystals of bipyramidal morphology. (*b*) Diffraction pattern to beyond 2.5 Å resolution (maximum resolution of approximately 2.35 Å) obtained from the crystal of *Sb*-DHDPS marked with an asterisk in (*a*). (*c*) Diffraction pattern of the same crystal as that shown in (*b*) but rotated 90°.

Table 2

X-ray data-collection statistics.

Values in parentheses are	for the	highest	resolution	bin.
---------------------------	---------	---------	------------	------

Wavelength (Å)	0.95369		
No. of images	360		
Oscillation angle per frame (°)	0.5		
Space group	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$		
Unit-cell parameters (Å)	a = 73.1, b = 84.0, c = 143.7		
Resolution (Å)	40.33-2.50 (2.64-2.50)		
Observed reflections	115926		
Unique reflections	31280		
Completeness (%)	99.7 (99.7)		
$R_{\rm merge}^{\dagger}$	0.076 (0.343)		
$R_{\rm rim}$ ‡	0.089 (0.399)		
R _{nim} §	0.045 (0.201)		
Mean $I/\sigma(I)$	9.5 (3.0)		
Redundancy	3.7 (3.8)		
Molecules per asymmetric unit	2		
$V_{\rm M}$ (Å ³ Da ⁻¹)	2.12		

 $\begin{array}{l} \dagger \ R_{\mathrm{merge}} = \sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle | / \sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} I_i(hkl). \quad \ddagger \ R_{\mathrm{p.im.}} = \sum_{hkl} [1/(N-1)]^{1/2} \\ \times \sum_{i} |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle | / \sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} I_i(hkl). \quad \And \ R_{\mathrm{r.im.}} = \sum_{hkl} [N/(N-1)]^{1/2} \sum_{i} |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle | / \sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} I_i(hkl). \end{array}$

culture and the recombinant protein was shown to be enzymatically active using both the *o*-aminobenzaldehyde assay (Yugari & Gilvarg, 1965) and the DHDPS–DHDPR coupled assay (Coulter *et al.*, 1999; Dobson *et al.*, 2004). ESI–TOF mass-spectrometric analysis (Fig. 2) demonstrated that the recombinant protein has a calculated mass of 34 632.16 Da (Figs. 2*a* and 2*b*), which is consistent with the theoretical mass of the His-tagged construct ($M_r = 34$ 632.6 Da). MS/MS data of a trypsin-treated *Sb*-DHDPS sample were also generated, resulting in 50% sequence coverage, which provided sufficient data to demonstrate that the sequence of the recombinant enzyme corresponded to *Sb*-DHDPS (Figs. 2*c* and 2*d*).

Sb-DHDPS was subsequently subjected to crystallization trials using commercial and in-house screens available at Bio21-C³. Small crystals of Sb-DHDPS were successfully grown from mother liquor consisting of 200 mM ammonium sulfate, 100 mM bis-tris pH 5.5, 25%(w/v) PEG 3350, 0.02%(w/v) sodium azide. In-house screens were then conducted to generate larger crystals for X-ray diffraction analyses. These screens resulted in the crystals shown in Fig. 3(a). One of the resulting crystals (indicated with an asterisk in Fig. 3a) was used to collect X-ray diffraction data over 180° of rotation (0.5° oscillation step; 360 frames in total). Two of the resulting frames are shown in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c). Significant radiation damage occurred to the crystal caused by the intense beam of the MX2 microfocus beamline, as judged by the deterioration of the diffraction patterns with time. Data processed from the first 200 frames were therefore chosen for structure determination, as latter batches showed a marked increase in the R factors between batches and a significant rise in the scaling B factor or slope between batches. The processed data consequently resulted in a unit cell with space group $P2_12_12_1$ and unit-cell parameters a = 73.1, b = 84.0, c = 143.7 Å (Table 2). Systematic absences also strongly suggested the presence of a screw axis in the k and l planes and the possibility of a screw axis in the hplane, correlating with the predicted space group. The structure was solved by molecular replacement employing the program Phaser (McCov et al., 2005) using the structure of DHDPS from Salmonella typhimurium LT2 (PDB code 3g0s; J. Osipiuk, N. Maltseva, J. Stam, W. F. Anderson & A. Joachimiak, unpublished work; 50% identity to Sb-DHDPS). Both the LL gain (1407) and the rotation and translation function Z scores (27.0 and 36.3, respectively) from *Phaser*, along with the initial change in R_{free} values (from 0.4195 to 0.3245) in the first cycle of refinement conducted using the program PHENIX (Adams et al., 2010), provide indications that the structure will be

successfully determined by molecular replacement. Interestingly, *Sb*-DHDPS crystallized as a dimer with two molecules present within the asymmetric unit and a $V_{\rm M}$ (Matthews, 1968) calculated as 2.12 Å³ Da⁻¹. No symmetry mates were generated that could be interpreted as a potential tetrameric form of the enzyme. Further analysis and refinement of the structure is in progress.

We would like to acknowledge the friendly staff of the Bio21 Collaborative Crystallization Centre (Bio21-C³) and the beamline scientists from the Australian Synchrotron. We would also like to thank all other members of the Perugini laboratory for helpful discussions during the preparation of this manuscript. Finally, we acknowledge the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA; Project ID AB07CBT004), the Hermon Slade Foundation, the Sir John and Lady Higgins Scholarship fund for providing a post-graduate scholarship for JMW and the Australian Research Council for providing a Future Fellowship for MAP and a Federation Fellowship for MWP.

References

- Adams, P. D. et al. (2010). Acta Cryst. D66, 213-221.
- Atkinson, S. C., Dobson, R. C. J., Newman, J. M., Gorman, M. A., Dogovski, C., Parker, M. W. & Perugini, M. A. (2009). Acta Cryst. F65, 253–255.
- Blagova, E., Levdikov, V., Milioti, N., Fogg, M. J., Kalliomaa, A. K., Brannigan, J. K., Wilson, K. S. & Wilkinson, A. J. (2006). *Proteins*, **62**, 297–301.
- Blickling, S., Beisel, H. G., Bozic, D., Knäblein, J., Laber, B. & Huber, R. (1997). J. Mol. Biol. 274, 608–621.
- Blickling, S. & Knablein, J. (1997). Biol. Chem. 378, 207-210.
- Blickling, S., Renner, C., Laber, B., Pohlenz, H. D., Holak, T. A. & Huber, R. (1997). Biochemistry, 36, 24–33.
- Burgess, B. R., Dobson, R. C. J., Bailey, M. F., Atkinson, S. C., Griffin, M. D. W., Jameson, G. B., Parker, M. W., Gerrard, J. A. & Perugini, M. A. (2008). J. Biol. Chem. 283, 27598–27603.
- Burgess, B. R., Dobson, R. C. J., Dogovski, C., Jameson, G. B., Parker, M. W. & Perugini, M. A. (2008). Acta Cryst. F64, 659–661.
- Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994). Acta Cryst. D50, 760-763.
- Coulter, C. V., Gerrard, J. A., Kraunsoe, J. A. E. & Pratt, A. J. (1999). Pestic. Sci. 55, 887–895.
- Deming, J., Hada, H., Colwell, R., Luehrsen, K. & Fox, G. (1984). J. Gen. Microbiol. 130, 1911–1920.
- Devenish, S. R. A., Huisman, F. H. A., Parker, E. J., Hadfield, A. T. & Gerrard, J. A. (2009). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **1794**, 1168–1174.
- Dobson, R. C. J., Atkinson, S. C., Gorman, M. A., Newman, J. M., Parker, M. W. & Perugini, M. A. (2008). Acta Cryst. F64, 206–208.
- Dobson, R. C. J., Griffin, M. D. W., Jameson, G. B. & Gerrard, J. A. (2005). Acta Cryst. D61, 1116–1124.
- Dobson, R. C. J., Valegård, K. & Gerrard, J. A. (2004). J. Mol. Biol. 338, 329–339.
- Dogovski, C., Atkinson, S. C., Dommaraju, S. R., Hor, L., Dobson, R. C. J., Hutton, C. A., Gerrard, J. A. & Perugini, M. A. (2009). *Encyclopedia Of Life Support Systems*, Vol. 11, pp. 116–136. Oxford: Eolss Publishers.
- Dommaraju, S., Gorman, M. A., Dogovski, C., Pearce, F. G., Gerrard, J. A., Dobson, R. C. J., Parker, M. W. & Perugini, M. A. (2010). Acta Cryst. F66, 57–60.
- Ghislain, M., Frankard, V. & Jacobs, M. (1990). Planta, 180, 480-486.
- Girish, T. S., Sharma, E. & Gopal, B. (2008). FEBS Lett. 582, 2923-2930.
- Griffin, M. D. W., Dobson, R. C. J., Gerrard, J. A. & Perugini, M. A. (2010). Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 494, 58–63.
- Griffin, M. D. W., Dobson, R. C. J., Pearce, F. G., Antonio, L., Whitten, A. E., Liew, C. K., Mackay, J. P., Trewhella, J., Jameson, G. B., Perugini, M. A. & Gerrard, J. A. (2008). J. Mol. Biol. 380, 691–703.
- Hor, L., Dobson, R. C. J., Dogovski, C., Hutton, C. A. & Perugini, M. A. (2010). Acta Cryst. F66, 37–40.
- Hutton, C. A., Perugini, M. A. & Gerrard, J. A. (2007). Mol. Biosyst. 3, 458–465.
- Kefala, G., Evans, G. L., Griffin, M. D. W., Devenish, S. R. A., Pearce, F. G., Perugini, M. A., Gerrard, J. A., Weiss, M. S. & Dobson, R. C. J. (2008). *Biochem. J.* 411, 351–360.

Kobayashi, K. et al. (2003). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 4678-4683.

Kefala, G. & Weiss, M. S. (2006). Acta Cryst. F62, 1116–1119.

- Laber, B., Gomis-Ruth, F. X., Romao, M. J. & Huber, R. (1992). *Biochem. J.* 288, 691–695.
- Leslie, A. G. W. (1992). *Int CCP4/ESF-EACBM Newsl. Protein Crystallogr.* 26. MacDonell, M. & Colwell, R. (1985). *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 6, 171–182.
- Matthews, B. W. (1968). J. Mol. Biol. 33, 491–497.
- McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Storoni, L. C. & Read, R. J. (2005). Acta Cryst. D61, 458–464.
- McPhillips, T. M., McPhillips, S. E., Chiu, H.-J., Cohen, A. E., Deacon, A. M., Ellis, P. J., Garman, E., Gonzalez, A., Sauter, N. K., Phizackerley, R. P., Soltis, S. M. & Kuhn, P. (2002). J. Synchrotron Rad. 9, 401–406.

Mirwaldt, C., Korndorfer, I. & Huber, R. (1995). J. Mol. Biol. 246, 227-239.

- Newman, J., Egan, D., Walter, T. S., Meged, R., Berry, I., Ben Jelloul, M., Sussman, J. L., Stuart, D. I. & Perrakis, A. (2005). Acta Cryst. D61, 1426– 1431.
- Newman, J., Pham, T. M. & Peat, T. S. (2008). Acta Cryst. F64, 991-996.
- Pearce, F. G., Perugini, M. A., McKerchar, H. J. & Gerrard, J. A. (2006). Biochem. J. 400, 359–366.

- Phenix, C. P., Nienaber, K., Tam, P. H., Delbaere, L. T. & Palmer, E. L. (2008). *Chembiochem*, 9, 1591–1602.
- Rice, E. A., Bannon, G. A., Glenn, K. C., Jeong, S. S., Sturman, E. J. & Rydel, T. J. (2008). Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 480, 111–121.
- Sibarani, N. E., Gorman, M. A., Dogovski, C., Parker, M. W. & Perugini, M. A. (2010). Acta Cryst. F66, 32–36.
- Sibarani, N. E., Gorman, M. A., Dogovski, C., Praszkier, J., Bryant, G., Mitsakos, V., Yang, J., Bhargava, S. K., Robins-Browne, R., Parker, M. W. & Perugini, M. A. (2010). Submitted.
- Voss, J. E., Scally, S. W., Taylor, N. L., Atkinson, S. C., Griffin, M. D. W., Hutton, C. A., Parker, M. W., Alderton, M. R., Gerrard, J. A., Dobson, R. C. J., Dogovski, C. & Perugini, M. A. (2010). J. Biol. Chem. 285, 5188– 5195.
- Voss, J. E., Scally, S. W., Taylor, N. L., Dogovski, C., Alderton, M. R., Hutton, C. A., Gerrard, J. A., Parker, M. W., Dobson, R. C. J. & Perugini, M. A. (2009). Acta Cryst. F65, 188–191.
- Yugari, Y. & Gilvarg, C. (1965). J. Biol. Chem. 240, 4710-4716.